

## **Deborah.Easterling**

---

**From:** Jocelyn.Boyd  
**Sent:** Monday, September 30, 2013 1:17 PM  
**To:** Deborah.Easterling  
**Cc:** davido@sunstoresolar.com; pmlgrnlw@yahoo.com; brian.franklin@duke-energy.com; timika.shafeek-horton@duke-energy.com; libbysmith@comcast.net; Edwards, Nanette; Hudson, Shannon; chad.burgess@scana.com; Bholman@selcsc.org; davido@sunstoresolar.com  
**Subject:** FW: Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Sierra Club [mailto:information@sierraclub.org] On Behalf Of Eleanor Martinson  
**Sent:** Monday, September 30, 2013 10:46 AM  
**To:** Jocelyn.Boyd  
**Subject:** Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

Sep 30, 2013

Joceyln Boyd  
101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100  
Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Boyd,

I respectfully disagree with the decision of the Public Service Commission to indefinitely delay the public hearing on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E) that was supposed to have been held this past September 12th, 2013.

While the Energy Advisory Council's work in consideration of net metering issues is important, it is also necessary to continue public discussion and consideration of how existing rules can be improved to allow for clean energy resource competition in our state.

After all, the PSC exists as a public body to best advance its own motto: "a fair, open, and efficient regulatory process that promotes cost-effective and reliable utility services." I hope that the Commission reconsiders streamlining its hosting of an open public discussion about net metering, in order to so carry-out its own vital role as a public service entity. Thank you for your consideration of this request to re-set a date to have this public discussion in the near future.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Eleanor Martinson  
1498 Nautilus Rd  
Rock Hill, SC 29732-9580  
(803) 324-1018

**Nina.Gates**

---

**From:** Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Eleanor Martinson  
<miseleanor@hotmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, September 30, 2013 10:46 AM  
**To:** PSC\_Commissioner.Howard  
**Subject:** Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

Sep 30, 2013

John Howard  
101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100  
Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Howard,

I respectfully disagree with the decision of the Public Service Commission to indefinitely delay the public hearing on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E) that was supposed to have been held this past September 12th, 2013.

While the Energy Advisory Council's work in consideration of net metering issues is important, it is also necessary to continue public discussion and consideration of how existing rules can be improved to allow for clean energy resource competition in our state.

After all, the PSC exists as a public body to best advance its own motto: "a fair, open, and efficient regulatory process that promotes cost-effective and reliable utility services." I hope that the Commission reconsiders streamlining its hosting of an open public discussion about net metering, in order to so carry-out its own vital role as a public service entity. Thank you for your consideration of this request to re-set a date to have this public discussion in the near future.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Eleanor Martinson  
1498 Nautilus Rd  
Rock Hill, SC 29732-9580  
(803) 324-1018

**Nina.Gates**

---

**From:** Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Eleanor Martinson  
<miseleanor@hotmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, September 30, 2013 10:46 AM  
**To:** PSC\_Commissioner.Randall  
**Subject:** Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

Sep 30, 2013

Comer Randall  
101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100  
Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Randall,

I respectfully disagree with the decision of the Public Service Commission to indefinitely delay the public hearing on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E) that was supposed to have been held this past September 12th, 2013.

While the Energy Advisory Council's work in consideration of net metering issues is important, it is also necessary to continue public discussion and consideration of how existing rules can be improved to allow for clean energy resource competition in our state.

After all, the PSC exists as a public body to best advance its own motto: "a fair, open, and efficient regulatory process that promotes cost-effective and reliable utility services." I hope that the Commission reconsiders streamlining its hosting of an open public discussion about net metering, in order to so carry-out its own vital role as a public service entity. Thank you for your consideration of this request to re-set a date to have this public discussion in the near future.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Eleanor Martinson  
1498 Nautilus Rd  
Rock Hill, SC 29732-9580  
(803) 324-1018

**Nina.Gates**

---

**From:** Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Eleanor Martinson  
<miseleanor@hotmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, September 30, 2013 10:46 AM  
**To:** PSC\_Commissioner.Hall  
**Subject:** Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

Sep 30, 2013

Nikiya Hall  
101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100  
Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Hall,

I respectfully disagree with the decision of the Public Service Commission to indefinitely delay the public hearing on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E) that was supposed to have been held this past September 12th, 2013.

While the Energy Advisory Council's work in consideration of net metering issues is important, it is also necessary to continue public discussion and consideration of how existing rules can be improved to allow for clean energy resource competition in our state.

After all, the PSC exists as a public body to best advance its own motto: "a fair, open, and efficient regulatory process that promotes cost-effective and reliable utility services." I hope that the Commission reconsiders streamlining its hosting of an open public discussion about net metering, in order to so carry-out its own vital role as a public service entity. Thank you for your consideration of this request to re-set a date to have this public discussion in the near future.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Eleanor Martinson  
1498 Nautilus Rd  
Rock Hill, SC 29732-9580  
(803) 324-1018

## Nina.Gates

---

**From:** Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Eleanor Martinson <miseleanor@hotmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, September 30, 2013 10:46 AM  
**To:** PSC\_Commissioner.Howard  
**Subject:** Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

Sep 30, 2013

John Howard  
101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100  
Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Howard,

I respectfully disagree with the decision of the Public Service Commission to indefinitely delay the public hearing on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E) that was supposed to have been held this past September 12th, 2013.

While the Energy Advisory Council's work in consideration of net metering issues is important, it is also necessary to continue public discussion and consideration of how existing rules can be improved to allow for clean energy resource competition in our state.

After all, the PSC exists as a public body to best advance its own motto: "a fair, open, and efficient regulatory process that promotes cost-effective and reliable utility services." I hope that the Commission reconsiders streamlining its hosting of an open public discussion about net metering, in order to so carry-out its own vital role as a public service entity. Thank you for your consideration of this request to re-set a date to have this public discussion in the near future.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Eleanor Martinson  
1498 Nautilus Rd  
Rock Hill, SC 29732-9580  
(803) 324-1018

**Nina.Gates**

---

**From:** Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Eleanor Martinson  
<miseleanor@hotmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, September 30, 2013 10:46 AM  
**To:** PSC\_Commissioner.Randall  
**Subject:** Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

Sep 30, 2013

Comer Randall  
101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100  
Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Randall,

I respectfully disagree with the decision of the Public Service Commission to indefinitely delay the public hearing on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E) that was supposed to have been held this past September 12th, 2013.

While the Energy Advisory Council's work in consideration of net metering issues is important, it is also necessary to continue public discussion and consideration of how existing rules can be improved to allow for clean energy resource competition in our state.

After all, the PSC exists as a public body to best advance its own motto: "a fair, open, and efficient regulatory process that promotes cost-effective and reliable utility services." I hope that the Commission reconsiders streamlining its hosting of an open public discussion about net metering, in order to so carry-out its own vital role as a public service entity. Thank you for your consideration of this request to re-set a date to have this public discussion in the near future.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Eleanor Martinson  
1498 Nautilus Rd  
Rock Hill, SC 29732-9580  
(803) 324-1018

**Nina.Gates**

---

**From:** Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Eleanor Martinson <miseleanor@hotmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, September 30, 2013 10:46 AM  
**To:** PSC\_Commissioner.Hall  
**Subject:** Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

Sep 30, 2013

Nikiya Hall  
101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100  
Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Hall,

I respectfully disagree with the decision of the Public Service Commission to indefinitely delay the public hearing on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E) that was supposed to have been held this past September 12th, 2013.

While the Energy Advisory Council's work in consideration of net metering issues is important, it is also necessary to continue public discussion and consideration of how existing rules can be improved to allow for clean energy resource competition in our state.

After all, the PSC exists as a public body to best advance its own motto: "a fair, open, and efficient regulatory process that promotes cost-effective and reliable utility services." I hope that the Commission reconsiders streamlining its hosting of an open public discussion about net metering, in order to so carry-out its own vital role as a public service entity. Thank you for your consideration of this request to re-set a date to have this public discussion in the near future.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Eleanor Martinson  
1498 Nautilus Rd  
Rock Hill, SC 29732-9580  
(803) 324-1018